

#### Reconciling the Protection of GIs and Trademarks

#### The Point of View of GI Producers

Stefano Fanti Consorzio del Prosciutto di Parma oriGIn General Assembly Teruel, 25th June 2009

#### **Key Starting Points**



GIs and TMs are 2 distinct but complementary intellectual property rights concepts

Whereas the <u>geographical indication</u> relates to the specific geographical origin and qualities or reputation of a good due to that place of origin, <u>trademark</u> puts the focus on the enterprise or organization that owns the good or service

GIs and TMs are very often used in combination on the labels of products

# Key Starting Points



- TM protection is relatively straightforward:
  - Largely harmonized legal frameworks for TM protection available in almost all countries
  - International agreement to facilitate international protection of marks (Madrid system)
- GI protection is much more challenging:
  - Legal instruments available for GI protection vary considerably from one country to another:
    - while more and more popular, sui generis protection systems do not exist in all jurisdictions => need to rely on trademark regimes, unfair competition and consumer protection laws, court decisions, etc.
  - No truly international instrument available to facilitate GI recognition and protection

#### Prosciutto di Parma's experience with GI and TM protection



- Prosciutto di Parma: a designation of origin in Italy since 1970 and a Protected Designation of Origin (PDO) in the EU since 1996
- The Consorzio also registered 2 EC trademarks "Prosciutto di Parma" and its translation in the official languages of the EC + the Ducal Crown logo including the name Parma – with the European Trademark Office (OHIM)
- Outside the EU, very few export markets provide for a specific system of GI protection => The Consorzio del Prosciutto di Parma has sought protection of its designation of origin through trademarks, but this has proved extremely difficult and costly, if not impossible

# The challenge of protecting GIs via the trademark route



- Impossibility to register GI names as trademarks in some countries as they prohibit the registration of descriptive names (which GIs are by definition!)
- Collective or certification mark registration:
  - Refused: name considered as "generic"
  - Limited:
    - ex: covers combined GI name (e. g. Parmigiano Reggiano), but not the two terms individually
    - the certification mark can only be used to certify the product but not on promotional material for instance (for fearing of losing it)
    - does not protect from the proliferation of similar marks used in evocations, or in combination with other words or on different products
    - does not protect in relation with the indication of the source/origin of products
- Product not allowed in the country for (phyto)sanitary reasons... => no defensive name protection available
- Name already registered as trademark = one is forced to seek cancellation or to purchase a registered trademark
- Subsequent trademarks' registrations containing identical or similarly confusing names: need for producers to file requests for cancellation (high legal costs)



#### PROPOSALS ON HOW TO RECONCILE GI AND TM PROTECTION



- When a geographical name is involved, trademark offices should accept to register <u>only</u> collective or certification marks (refuse individual trademarks)
- In order to prevent problems, trademark offices need to:
  - > Be better informed about GIs (training required)
  - Have access to databases of GIs (publication of lists of registered GIs necessary)
  - Have regular exchange of information with public bodies in charge of GIs (coordination crucial)
  - Monitor and refuse requests of registration by non authorized parties identical or confusingly similar to protected GIs or registered CM



- Encourage all countries to establish a sui generis GI protection system to facilitate GI protection and avoid issues relating to the use of the TM system for GI protection
- Provide for a truly multilateral (WTO) legal framework for the registration and protection of all GI products which would:
  - Facilitate the international protection of GIs
  - Provide important information to all trademark and GI offices on the protected GI names



- Much less conflicts between GIs and TMs than between TMs themselves!
- Principles established for the resolution of TM disputes not appropriate to resolve conflicts with GIs that are a different concept as they do not take into account the specificities of GIs.



- Principles to tackle conflicts should be take into account the following elements:
  - All GIs should enjoy the same level of protection around the world (the trademark protection is the same for all products)
    - ⇒Extension of Article 23 TRIPS protection required
    - ⇒WTO multilateral register on GIs should be open to all products
  - Like trademarks, GIs should benefit from the Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy of WIPO



- The trademark principle «first in time, first in right» is not appropriate as:
  - GI is a new legal concept in most countries (although there is a long history of GI names and products used)
  - This would put GI producers in an unfair weak legal situation
- The USA use the **«first to invent, first in right**» in the patent area
- A principle that could apply to resolve conflicts between GIs and TMs could be the « **first to use, first in right** » as GIs are the result of a tradition that combines a geographical environment, natural and human factors. This concept should be recognized at the international level



## Thank you for your attention