



EUROPEAN COMMISSION  
HEALTH AND CONSUMERS DIRECTORATE-GENERAL

Brussels,

EN

**WORKING DOCUMENT**

**DRAFT**

**GUIDANCE DOCUMENT ON THE APPLICATION OF ARTICLE 14 OF  
REGULATION (EC) N°178/2002 AS REGARDS FOOD CONTAMINATED WITH  
SHIGA TOXIN-PRODUCING ESCHERICHIA COLI (STEC)**

*This document has been established for information purposes only. It has not been adopted or in any way approved by the European Commission.*

*The European Commission does not guarantee the accuracy of the information provided, nor does it accept responsibility for any use made thereof. Users should therefore take all necessary precautions before using this information, which they use entirely at their own risk.*

## **PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT**

This document is directed at competent authorities of Member States and aims to assist them when they are confronted with food with positive STEC results by providing them with guidance for a harmonised application of Article 14 of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002. This document does not intend to provide guidance on how STEC surveillance or monitoring should be conducted by Member States or food business operators.

## **NOTE**

This document is an evolving document and may be updated as necessary to take account of new scientific data, experiences and information from competent authorities and from the Commission's Health and Consumers Directorate General.

*The Court of Justice of the European Union constitutes the judicial authority of the EU and is the institution which interprets European Union law as last resort.*

## ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS USED IN THE GUIDANCE DOCUMENT

CA	Competent authorities
EFSA	European Food Safety Authority
EURL	European Union Reference Laboratory
FBO	Food Business Operators
MS	Member State
RTE	Ready-to-eat
STEC	Shiga toxin-producing <i>Escherichia coli</i>
VTEC	Verocytotoxin-producing <i>Escherichia coli</i>
EAEC	Enterohaggative <i>Escherichia coli</i>
<i>stx</i> gene	Shigatoxin-coding gene
<i>eae</i> gene	Intimin-coding gene
<i>aaiC</i> and <i>aggR</i> genes	Genetic markers characteristic for EAEC

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES.....	5
2. GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS FOR A HARMONIZED APPLICATION OF ARTICLE 14 OF REGULATION (EC) NO 178/2002 .....	6
3. STEC HAZARD CHARACTERIZATION .....	6
4. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT.....	7
5. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR RISK MANAGEMENT MEASURES .....	7

## 1. BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC), also known as verocytotoxin-producing *E. coli* (VTEC), are one of the most common causes of gastrointestinal illness around the world. These food-borne pathogenic bacteria are frequently associated with severe forms of infection including hemorrhagic colitis and hemolytic uremic syndrome. As reported by the last edition of the European Union Summary Report on Trends and Sources of Zoonoses, Zoonotic Agents and Food-borne Outbreaks<sup>1</sup>, *E. coli* O157 is the STEC serotype most often implicated in outbreaks although there are numerous other STEC serotypes that have caused serious human illness and outbreaks. These outbreaks are frequently associated with the consumption of fresh products, along with ready-to-eat (RTE) food.

The last major STEC outbreak occurred in 2011 from sprouts and, since then, most Member States (MS) have significantly increased the number of official controls aiming at detecting the presence of STEC in food placed on their market. These controls concern both imported and domestically produced foodstuffs.

The complexity of STEC relates to the difficulty of designating individual serotypes as pathogens. The plasticity of the genome, resulting in the acquisition of virulence or adherence properties from other organisms, normally by means of translocation on phages, means that new and unexpected strains are likely to appear in an unpredictable way over time. The major 2011 outbreak of *E. coli* O104:H4 is an example of the genomic variability referred to above and has seriously challenged the concept of STEC seropathogenicity, in particular the seropathotype approach proposed by Karmali and colleagues in 2003 (Karmali et al., 2003).

In April 2013, the European food safety authority (EFSA) published a scientific opinion<sup>2</sup> on "VTEC-seropathotype and scientific criteria regarding pathogenicity assessment". This opinion acknowledges that, even if it is still not possible to fully define human pathogenic STEC or identify factors for STEC that absolutely predict the potential to cause human disease, a molecular classification may give a first common approach which could assist the Competent Authorities (CA) of MS in conducting a risk assessment according to Article 14 of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 when confronted with positive STEC results and in taking the appropriate measures to ensure that the risk for consumers is reduced as much as possible.

As no specific STEC food safety criteria have been laid down in Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 on microbiological criteria of foodstuffs<sup>3</sup> (except for sprouts), the task of MS when managing STEC positive results can be challenging. It has to be noted that the application of the general principles of food hygiene at all steps of the food production chain is the main strategy for Food Business Operators (FBO) to prevent the food contamination by STEC.

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/doc/3547.pdf>

<sup>2</sup> <http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/search/doc/3138.pdf>

<sup>3</sup> OJ L 338, 22.12.2005, P. 1

The purpose of the present document is to assist MS confronted with food with positive STEC results by providing them with guidance for a harmonised application of Article 14 of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002.

This document does not intend to provide guidance on how STEC surveillance or monitoring should be conducted by MS or FBO, nor has the ambition to recommend a sampling strategy for STEC in food.

The approach proposed in this document is applicable to all food commodities even if examples given in flowchart 2 refer to beef as it is considered a frequent source of STEC infection in humans.

## **2. GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS FOR A HARMONIZED APPLICATION OF ARTICLE 14 OF REGULATION (EC) NO 178/2002**

Article 14 of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 prohibits food being placed on the market if it is unsafe. Food is deemed to be unsafe if it is considered to be injurious to health or unfit for human consumption. Once a hazard is identified in food which might make it injurious to health, an assessment of the associated risk should be carried out, taking into account the potential exposure of consumers to this hazard. This exposure assessment should consider the normal conditions of use of the food, such as cooking, and the particular health sensitivities of specific categories of consumers where food is intended for that category of consumers.

Therefore, when confronted with food where a potential hazard has been detected, CA should always consider how serious the risk is before imposing any corrective measures. For this purpose, two key questions should be addressed:

- what harm might the contaminated food cause if directly ingested by consumers?
- how likely is it considering the intended use of this food?

## **3. STEC HAZARD CHARACTERIZATION**

So far, according to the 2013 EFSA opinion, no single or combination of marker(s) has been found to define pathogenic STEC. Therefore, it is not possible to fully define human pathogenic STEC or to identify factors for STEC that absolutely predict the potential to cause human disease.

Nevertheless epidemiological and molecular investigations conducted on STEC outbreaks have shown worldwide that the combination of virulence genes *stx* and *eae* is often associated with the most severe forms of STEC-induced disease. Moreover, the major 2011 outbreak of *E. coli* O104:H4 has demonstrated that horizontal gene transfer can result in the formation of a new, highly pathogenic strain with the virulence characteristics of both STEC and Enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* (EAEC) strains, despite lacking *eae* genes that were thought to be essential for the expression of virulence in 'traditional' STEC.

It seems therefore prudent to consider the concomitant presence of [1] *stx* gene and *eae* gene (STEC causing the attaching and effacing lesion) or [2] *stx*, *aaiC* and *aggR* genes

(Shigatoxin-producing EAEC) in an isolated *E. coli* strain as a hazard entailing a high risk of causing a serious human disease if directly ingested by consumers.

#### 4. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT

As different types of food are associated with different levels of risk for humans to become infected by STEC, MS should include information on the final destination or intended use of the food in the exposure part of their risk assessment.

For this purpose, when the laboratory results have confirmed the presence of the hazard (i.e. presence in an isolated *E. coli* strain of [1] *stx* gene and *eae* gene or [2] *stx*, *aaiC* and *aggR* genes), the contaminated food may be classified, for the ease of convenience, according to two risk profiles: food profile 1 and food profile 2.

Food profile 1 should include contaminated RTE or non-RTE food frequently or usually consumed without a sufficient treatment able to eliminate or reduce to an acceptable level the risk of infection by STEC. In order to help classify food in this latter category, MS should take into account domestic consumption habits on their territory (e.g. minced beef steak is often consumed undercooked or even rare in certain MS). Food profile 1 should be considered as the riskiest food as regards the possibility of human infection.

Food profile 2 should include only contaminated food very likely to be consumed with the appropriate treatment able to eliminate or reduce to an acceptable level the risk of infection by STEC (e.g. food intended to be thoroughly cooked before consumption) and for which clear information is provided to the consumers, including information on the label, and possible other information generally available to consumers concerning the avoidance of specific adverse health effects from a particular food or category of foods.

Under certain circumstances, MS may be confronted with contaminated food which has different intended end uses (e.g. imported beef carcasses) and thus cannot easily classify it in one of the two risk profiles. In this case, MS should base their classification decision taking into account the availability and the robustness of traceability information (e.g. the FBO ability to correctly channel products with positive results to the appropriate final destination). If this information is not available or insufficient to enable conclusions on the product intended end use to be drawn, the concerned food should be handled as a food with a risk profile 1.

#### 5. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR RISK MANAGEMENT MEASURES

Risk management measures should only be decided when the entire set of analytical results is available to confirm the hazard, i.e. the presence in an isolated *E. coli* strain of [1] *stx* gene and *eae* gene or [2] *stx*, *aaiC* and *aggR* genes.

For food with a risk profile 1, which should be considered as the riskiest food as regards the possibility of human infection, corrective actions, aiming at eliminating or reducing to an acceptable level the risk of infection by STEC, should be triggered as soon as the hazard has been confirmed.

Whereas for food with a risk profile 2, only STEC strains belonging to the serogroups most frequently associated with severe illnesses (i.e. serogroups O157, O26, O103,

O145, O111, O104) should trigger corrective actions because of the risk of subsequent cross-contamination with other food during retail or at consumer's kitchen level.

Corrective actions should differ depending on whether the contaminated food has already reached retail level or not:


- Food already at retail level should be withdraw or recall according to Article 19 of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002;
- Food not yet at retail level may be submitted to further processing by a treatment eliminating the STEC hazard.

\*\*\*

The flowcharts 1 and 2 summarise the recommendations described in this guidance document.

DRAFT

**Flowchart 1:** recommendations for a harmonized application of Article 14 of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 as regards food contaminated by Shiga toxin producing *E. coli*



**Flowchart 2:** Exposure assessment and profiling of the contaminated food

